UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/572,194	09/21/2006	Andreas Lingens	5041.1003	2636
	7590 01/03/201 dson & Kappel, LLC	EXAMINER		
485 7th Avenue 14th Floor	**	NGUYEN, TU MINH		
New York, NY	10018	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3748	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/03/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/572,194	LINGENS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
TU M. NGUYEN	3748		

	TU M. NGUYEN	3748				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 October 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complianc time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, affi ice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 C	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
The periods:  The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (In TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on the nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	FIRST REPLY WAS F 36(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropri nally set in the final Offi	ILED WITHIN  te extension fee ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2.   The Notice of Appeal was filed on 27 October 2010. A bri the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply AMENDMENTS	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to avoid dis	missal of the			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a concern NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.				
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	·	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 13-31. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  Claim(s)		ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
3.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a			
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.			
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
	/Tu M. Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art Ui	nit 3748				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Lavendis et al. teach pulsing a compressed air in a direction oppposite to the exhaust gas flow. Since the exhaust gas flow is continuous with time; and the pulsed compressed air is not continuous with time (i.e., there are periods that there is no or little flow of compressed air), the compressed air from a previous pulse actually flows along a direction with the exhaust gas during the periods of no or little flow of compressed air. As such, Lavendis et al. teach a step of passing a stream of fluid (compressed air) from the raw gas side through a filter.